

- 6 -

Remarks

This response is to the Office Letter mailed in the above-referenced case on June 5, 2007. Claims 1-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 101 as claiming the same invention as US Patent 6,643,287, and also under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

In response the applicant has canceled claims 1-37 and has entered new claims 38-61, which are patentably distinct from the canceled claims. The applicant therefore believes that new claims 38-61 are patentable, and respectfully request reconsideration, and that the case be passed quickly to issue.

If any fees are due beyond fees paid with this amendment, authorization is made to deduct those fees from deposit account 50-0534. If any time extension is needed beyond any extension requested with this amendment, such extension is hereby requested.

Respectfully Submitted,
Ross W. Callon et al.

By /Donald R. Boys/
Donald R. Boys
Reg. No. 35,074

Central Coast Patent Agency, Inc.
3 Hangar Way, Suite D
Watsonville, CA 95076
831-768-1755